UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,989	03/31/2004	Roy K. Greenberg	8627-372 8184	
	7590 10/30/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039	-	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
CHICAGO, IL 60610		·	ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		oplication No.	ication No. Applicant(s)			
		0/814,989	GREENBERG E	GREENBERG ET AL.		
		caminer	Art Unit			
		edro Philogene	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appear	s on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence a	address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THI - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximular Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment: See 37 CFR 1:704(E MAILING DATE ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) ommunication. m statutory period will ap eply will, by statute, caus ths after the mailing date	E OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE COMMUNION IN THE COMMUNION IN THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICATI	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the condition of the condit	2b)⊠ This act on for allowance	ion is non-final. except for formal matt		he merits is		
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to result of the subject to result of the subject	s/are withdrawn for electrication and/or electrication and/or electrication and/or electrication to the drawing the correction is	ection requirement. ed or b) objected to ving(s) be held in abeyar is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 (
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/814,989

Art Unit: 3733

Priority

Page 2

Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e)—or—120-- as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 10/003,011, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application. Applicant fails to provide adequate disclosure in the prior application for a "retraction mechanism that biases the actuation section and hence the grasping portion towards a retracted states". Since, the drawings and specification of application No. 10/814,989, are the original presentation of a retraction mechanism that biases the actuation section and hence the grasping portion towards a retracted states, applicant's claim for benefit is 3/31/2004, the filing date of the 10/814,989 application. Accordingly, claims 1-22 are not entitled to the benefit of the prior application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates (5,944,728) in view of Hogendijk et al. (5,713,907).

With respect to claims 1, 23, Bates disclose a medical grasping device comprising: an elongate control member (23) having an atraumatic distal tip section (22), as best seen in FIG.1, and a proximal end portion; the elongate control member further including a grasping portion (16) proximal the distal tip section; an outer sheath (14) with a passageway therethrough, as best seen in FIG.1, surrounding the elongate control member and relatively movable with respect thereto.

Although Bates teaches of an actuation section, as set forth in column 4, lines 1-67, it is noted that Bates, did not teach of an actuation section, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Hogendijk et al., column 5, lines 9-13; column 9, lines 14-26; evidences such an actuation section with retraction mechanism that biases the actuation section to enable the control deployment and displacement of a device.

Therefore, given the teaching of Hogendijk et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the actuation section, as taught by Hogendijk et al, in the device of Bates, to bias the actuation section to enable the control deployment and displacement of the grasping portion.

Art Unit: 3733

Furthermore, when there is a design need or market pressure to solve a problem and there are a finite number of identified, predictable solutions, a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product is not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense. In that instance the fact that a combination was obvious to try might show it was obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103. KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1742, 82 USPQ2d 1385,1396 (2007). Therefore, it would have been obvious to try an actuation section, as taught by Hogendijk et al., in order to bias the actuation section and hence the grasping portion towards a retracted state.

With respect to claims 2-11, the above combination of references teaches all the limitations, as set forth in Bates, column 3, lines 45-67, column 4, lines 1-67, column 5, lines 1-67, column 6, lines 1-61 and as best seen in FIGS.1-7; also as set forth in Hogendijk et al., column 5, lines 9-13, column 9, lines 14-26.

Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates (5,944,728) in view of Hogendijk et al (5,713,907) in view of Hillstead (5,098,440).

With respect to claims 12-22, it is noted that the above combination of references teaches all the limitations, except for wire loops that are substantially circular upon full deployment, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Hillstead evidences the use of wire loops that are circular upon full deployment and having side sections that overlap and touch the vessel wall to engage the object to be retrieved with a greater force.

Art Unit: 3733

A Commence of the Commence of

Therefore, given the teaching of Hillstead, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the design of the grasping device of Hillstead in the grasping device of Bates/Hogendijk et al. to engage the object to be retrieved with a greater force.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 8/16/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-22 under 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hogendijk et al.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,989

14 July 1980

Art Unit: 3733

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene October 19, 2007

The state of the s

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER